

British Nationalist

FOR RACE AND NATION

JUNE 1987

12p



June 11th

DON'T VOTE!

IMAGINE an election in a communist state. The people are offered a variety of candidates to vote for, their masters telling them that this demonstrates that they really do have a 'free choice' — they can elect whatever candidates they prefer!

There is only one snag about this: **all** the candidates, of course, are **communists**. If they were not, they wouldn't be standing. So the 'choice' the voters are supposed to have is really no choice at all, only the pretence of one.

You say you wouldn't like to live in such a state. Well, the fact is that you do live in one that isn't very different!

In the general election taking place in Britain this month — under the auspices of the racket known as 'democracy' — the people are offered, effectively, the choice of voting Conservative, Labour or 'Alliance'. Of course, other parties are allowed under the law to put up candidates — unlike in Russia for instance — but this is of very little use in reality. The only parties and candidates that have a chance are the ones that the mass media publicise. If you don't have the newspapers, television and radio giving you a 'platform' every day, quoting what you say in your speeches and what you write in your election literature, and inviting you to take part in interviews and discussion programmes before audiences of millions, you might as well not bother stand for election at all!

By ensuring that these facilities are allowed only to the leaders and candidates of the parties of the 'establishment', those who control us are in fact holding what is simply a stage-managed and 'rigged' election — as stage-managed and rigged as if it were taking place in Soviet Russia.

WHAT CHOICE?

And what real 'choice' do these different parties actually offer the people? On superficial examination, they might be thought to have different policies. But when we really get down to the nitty-gritty we find that these differences are trivial compared with the things the parties have in common.

Take the economy and unemployment. The Tories say they favour the 'free market' principle, by which economic forces are allowed to fight it out in a free-for-all, with the minimum state interference. Labour says the state must take a hand and give special help to ailing industries. The Alliance takes a view that is somewhere in between these two — a bit of state intervention but not too much.

But **all** these parties support the basic concept of the 'world economy' that lies at the root of Britain's problems, the world economy that permits our home industries to be ruined by unfair, cut-price and subsidised foreign competition and forbids us taking protective measures to safeguard them. In addition, they **all** support the international financial system under which money knows no frontiers and British capital can be exported to build up industries abroad that then compete on our own market with industries in Britain.

As part of this, **all** the parties support British membership of the European Common Market, which has been a major cause of the ruin of large sections of British industry, agriculture and fisheries.

LITTLE DIFFERENCE

Then let us take law and order. Again the differences between the parties are only superficial. The Tories **make noises** about the need to get tougher with criminals, but what is their record?

When vicious riots have broken out in our inner cities, they have employed pathetic kid-glove methods to deal with them. It is now a well-known fact that in these inner city areas the police are forbidden to arrest, or even stop, drug-pushers and other lawbreakers — this policy emanating from the Home Office, which is a department of the **Tory Government**. And despite a big Tory majority in the House of Commons the House voted **against** the restoration of the death penalty for murderers — contrary to the wishes of 80 per-cent of the electorate that it should be restored.

And we need hardly say that this mentality of softness in dealing with crime is shared by Labour and the parties of the Alliance.

In effect, the 80 per-cent of the people who want the death-penalty has no party among the major parties that will carry out its wishes. What 'choice' is that?

Then we come to immigration. So far the silence on this vital subject during the election has been almost deafening. It is as if all the three parties had agreed, as part of some unofficial pact between them, not to make immigration an election issue. All there have been is a few minor arguments about 'controls' and quotas, but **none** of the parties favours an effective **stop** to immigration, let alone any scheme for the repatriation of immigrants. **All** are unanimous in their support for the idea that Britain should be a multi-racial country.

CHOICE? WHAT CHOICE?



ALL PART OF THE SAME RACKET

We could go on and on. **All** the parties support the infamous Anglo-Irish Agreement, which amounts to a sell-out of our loyal fellow British subjects in Ulster. **All** the parties are in favour of frittering away billions of pounds on aid to the so-called 'Third World' — while large numbers of people in this country go short of some of the basic necessities of life, particularly the elderly.

And while all the parties slobber over the 'Third World' nations, some of which are run by brutal tyrants, all of them are hostile to South Africa, which is one of our best trade customers and is no threat to us at all.

THE PRIMARY ISSUES

In British politics, we must learn to distinguish between the primary and the secondary issues.

The primary issues are these: Is Britain going to remain a **nation**? Is it going to retain its traditional Anglo-Saxon-Celtic character? Is it going to be master of its own destiny and its own affairs? Is it going to be governed from Westminster or from some foreign capital like Brussels? Is it going to control and regulate its own economic life in the support of its own industries and for the benefit and well being of its own people? Is it going to have a government with the will to maintain law and order and protect the law-abiding citizen from the criminal and the thug?

Compared with these issues, all others are secondary. In this election the contesting parties are just arguing about the secondary issues; on the primary issues they think with one mind and speak almost with one voice.

The one mind and one voice are those of **liberalism** (small 'l'), **internationalism**, **multi-racialism** and **national surrender**.

And controlling these parties and

their candidates is the power behind the scenes, the real power in British politics, the power of **money**. **Money** finances the party machines. **Money** controls the press and other mass media. **Money**, through this control of the media, regulates the 'choices' of parties and candidates for which the people can vote.

That is why the whole business of the election is a fraud, a confidence-trick. It is just a stage-managed show in which millions of people are led to believe they are 'choosing' their next government; in fact the choice is being made for them, and all they are doing by voting is rubber-stamping it.

Whatever government we get after June 11th, the basic direction of national affairs is going to be the same as it has been for the past 40 years: **downward**.

WHAT CAN BE DONE?

What then can the people do to achieve a real change of direction in British politics? At this particular election, **nothing**!

What can be done is that patriotic-minded people band together to create an entirely **new force** in politics which **in the future** will be able to offer Britain a real alternative, a real change.

The alternative of national life to national death, of national resurgence to national decline, of national independence to national serfdom.

This alternative will not be created overnight. It will not take the form of an 'instant' political movement, like the SDP, created by the mass media and money from nothing in a short time. It will take years to build, years of hard struggle against the entrenched forces of vested interest which profit by the maintenance of the old system.

But it is the **only way**. We must make a start **now**. Rather than worry

Contd. on page 2

THE POWERS BEHIND BRITISH DECADENCE

IN POST-WAR TIMES there has been a concerted effort, promoted by every agency of established power, to soften and weaken the British people — once a proud imperial race but now a shrinking factor even within their own native island, whose future tenure by the children and grandchildren of the present generation of Britons is starting to look increasingly problematical.

This long-term programme of progressive enfeeblement has continued, without serious interruption, no matter which of the Westminster parties has been strutting and fretting its futile hour upon the political stage as the government in office. Weakness and slow, grinding decay have been the established norm in modern Britain, no matter how the politicians have attempted to conceal the reality behind their empty rhetoric — which is in any case designed purely to win votes in elections and retain for its exponents the perks of office.

Indeed many of the agencies conspiring to promote the degeneration of our manhood and the collapse of our national will are, in practice, vastly more powerful than any government of the day, and they outlast all governments. The mass media form the most obvious of these subversive powers. Our ruling politicians are in very high degree dependent upon the media of communication and popular entertainment, broadcasting and the press, which set the whole cultural and psychological climate in which the nation lives, and hence make or break the established political parties, whose public images they have the power to manipulate at will. The consequence is that these parties have all fallen into line with the climate of decadence propagated by the media.

The media themselves are controlled by what amounts to a largely anonymous mafia not responsible in any serious sense to any properly constituted public authority. They consistently churn out propaganda of a kind calculated to undermine patriotism, racial pride and all the values basic to national survival: self-discipline, the work ethic and sexual morality. Instead they promote notions of unlimited self-indulgence, extending to the most extreme limits of degenerate behaviour. The sole element that stands to benefit from the effects of this morale-corroding and nation-destroying propaganda are the cosmopolitan international-financial monopolists — the would-be monopolists of world power — who aim to dissolve all the white nations within the melting pot of a one-world order over which they would be the unchallenged masters.

Lazy, selfish and cowardly attitudes have been sown among all sections and classes of the population by these means. Our true controllers are quite prepared to allow our people to enjoy a degree of material comfort — for the time being. A widespread and enfeebling and corrupting obsession has been engendered among British people with personal well-being, at the expense of any willingness to lift a finger in defence of their country and race and the standards necessary to ensure their survival — despite the fact that it will be their own descendants who may eventually have to pay the terrible price of their failure. Truly, the media are in the forefront of the campaign to corrupt Britain.

What price the Anglo-Irish Agreement?

New wave of killings makes nonsense of London-Dublin deal

WHEN MRS. THATCHER signed the Anglo-Irish Agreement with Eire Premier Garret Fitzgerald, she officially gave the Republic of Ireland — a foreign state — the right to interfere in the internal affairs of the province of Northern Ireland, an integral part of the United Kingdom. She and her government attempted to justify this surrender of British sovereignty over British territory, which they had seen fit to carry out without the consent of the people of Ulster, by claiming that one of its results would be an 'improvement' in Northern Ireland's security, and that another result would be a lessening of tension in the province.

The story fed to the British public was that if Britain admitted the Irish Republic to a say in the affairs of the province, with senior Irish police officers being consulted over security matters and Irish judges being allowed to sit in in Ulster courts, all would be harmony, sweetness and light in relations between the two states and between the hitherto warring communities in Ulster, with the authorities in

the South falling over themselves to collaborate with British security forces in the fight against IRA terrorism.

The lie has once again been given to this nonsense in no uncertain way by the recent murder of the most senior judge in Northern Ireland, Lord Justice Gibson, and his wife, who were blown to pieces by a radio-controlled bomb left in a car parked along their line of travel just inside Ulster, as they drove unescorted across the border. There appears to have been little, if any liaison between Irish police at the border and Royal Ulster Constabulary officers over the judge's travel arrangements.

This was so in spite of the fact that the time and place of the judge's return to Ulster were well known to the Eire authorities and despite the fact that Lord Justice Gibson has been high on the IRA's murder list for several years. It was also well known that South Armagh, into which he would be making his way from the Republic, is 'bandit country' in which IRA terrorists have been continually active for a long time.

In 1979 18 young British servicemen died when IRA bombers blew up an army truck in this same area. Clearly, the Anglo-Irish Agreement of 1985 has changed nothing.

MOLE IN GARDA?

Indeed the murder of Lord Justice Gibson is merely one of a new outbreak of murders that has taken place in recent months, and it reinforces the well-founded suspicion within the loyal British community in Ulster that sections of the Garda, the police force of the Republic of Ireland, are sympathetic to the terrorist cause. One of the leading spokesmen for the loyalist community, Unionist MP James Molyneux, stated that the Irish police must have had an IRA 'mole' in their ranks who obtained classified information about the judge's travel plans and communicated it to his murderers.

Meanwhile a Dublin court has allowed an IRA man to walk free on a mere technicality. The man concerned, who is wanted in Britain to face 21 charges in connection with his part in a



THE MURDERED COUPLE
Sir Maurice Gibson and his wife Cecily

mass breakout from Belfast's Maze Prison in 1983, was undergoing the necessary proceedings for extradition to Ulster. This is by no means the first such case.

British people should be under no illusions about the real meaning of all this. Our Prime Minister has made substantial concessions to the Republic of Ireland on the question of Britain's sovereignty over Ulster. Significantly, a clause of the Republic's Constitution lays claim to the province. In return for all these concessions, the Republic has conceded virtually nothing to us, even over cross-border security.

TERRORISTS ENCOURAGED

None of Britain's enemies will be slow to draw the logical conclusions from this about the degree of political will that exists among her present rulers. In fact the new offensive of violence and murder, ranging from street riots to the killing of policemen, soldiers and other members of the loyalist community, merely shows that the IRA and its allies have been encouraged by this pathetic spirit of surrender that the signing of the Anglo-Irish Agreement embodies.

Mrs. Thatcher's public 'justification' for her deal with the Irish Government has been exposed as phoney. Security in Ulster today is as bad as ever, as also is co-operation between the Ulster and Republican security forces. The Agreement should be seen for what it really is: a piece of treachery typical of Britain's ruling establishment.



ANOTHER MURDER

The mangled remains of the car in which Sir Maurice Gibson and his wife died and (right) the car which was carrying three international rugby players who were slightly hurt

As Britain's voters swallow the politicians' 'law and order' speeches once again...

INNER CITY CRIME NIGHTMARE CONTINUES

WHILST the politicians of the establishment, whatever their party labels, attempt to jump on to the 'law and order' bandwagon in their cynical grab for votes, riots, rape and mugging continue to take place over ever larger areas of our cities, and in ever more vicious and savage forms.

In London, even East End crime bosses were reported to have put a 'contract' on the head of a mugger who hurled a baby into a canal and left her to drown. The mugger, described by



ANOTHER MUGGING VICTIM
This is Mrs. Esther Archbold, a grandmother of 69, after she had been attacked near her home in the Lee Bank area of Birmingham. The thief stole £3

police as a 5ft 10in tall half-caste, beat the mother of two-year-old Samantha Griffith unconscious before throwing the child into Hackney's Regent's Canal. Her life was only saved by a passer-by, who chanced to be on the scene to rescue her.

Det. Insp. Brian Collins, leading the enquiry into the crime, remarked: "Even hardened criminals have felt revulsion and abhorrence at the despicable crime. We hope we can find this man very soon. I don't know what sort of person could have done this and left a child in that situation. He's obviously a very nasty piece of work."

Meanwhile, in the same area a 22-month-old baby was punched in the mouth and tipped out of his pram by two muggers. Not the least loathsome aspect of the attack, carried out by assailants described in the press simply as "two youths", was the way in which the screams of the child's mother were ignored by passers-by as the muggers struck.

COWARDICE

The same weakness and cowardice which seem to be the spirit of contemporary Britain has also been disgracefully exemplified in a number of other recent incidents, such as that where a white woman was viciously attacked by two black girls on a bus to Bristol after she had asked them to reduce the volume on their transistor radio. Apparently, the remaining

occupants of the bus just sat back and looked the other way!

The disintegration of the British people as a national community, with the spread of both physical and moral cowardice among large sections of the population, are clearly seen by the criminal classes as a green light for them to inflict further mayhem and violence on our society.

Following on the pattern seen in America's big city ghettos, street thieves in London are now taking to mugging in huge gangs. As many as 50 muggers at a time sweep whole streets, beating and robbing passers-by and invading and pillaging shops before dispersing. South Hackney has recently witnessed a number of such raids in which women have been assaulted and robbed of money and jewellery and in one of which a pensioner was surrounded by a mob, punched in the face and

WANTED
Photo-fit
picture of
the man
who threw
toddler
Samantha
Griffith
in the
canal



despoiled of £1.50 in cash. In another incident a clothes shop was cleaned out of £3,000 worth of stock by a gang of 20 "youths", as described in the press reports. What types of youths was not specified.

This method of plunder is known by the black American ghetto slang as "steaming".

Contd. on back page

DONT VOTE! (Contd. from front page)

whether we elect Tweedle-dum or Tweedle-dee on June 11th, let us work to create a political movement of genuine reform which we may be able to elect years ahead — and thereby lay the foundations of a country we can be proud to hand down to our children.

A few people have made this start already. They have created the British National Party. At present this party is

just a small nucleus. With your participation, help and support, it can in the next few years become large and strong — large and strong enough to have a voice able to prevail against the controlled mass media, large enough and strong enough eventually to win power!

So don't waste your time with the old party circus anymore. Don't even bother to vote on June 11th. Instead of walking to the polling booth, go to the nearest post box and send off your application to join the BNP!

BRITISH YOUTH ABANDONED TO ANARCHY

REPEATEDLY, Britain has been exposed to international contempt by the yobbish behaviour of certain elements among her football supporters who, both at home and abroad, seemingly attend football matches for no other reason than to engage in drunken thuggery against the supporters of rival teams.

The recently jailed gang of Chelsea hooligans, known as the 'Headhunters', was notorious, not only for the weaponry it used, but also for the degree of organisation it displayed. Its leaders were netted as a result of a highly efficient undercover police operation (code-named 'Own-Goal'), tried, convicted and given heavy prison sentences of up to 10 years.

POLICE POWERLESS

Decent law-abiding British people will naturally applaud the success of the police in breaking up this gang and regard the severe punishment meted out to its members as entirely justified in such a case. Nevertheless, they should not allow this to blind them to the hard reality that it is beyond the power, or the functions, of either police or courts of law to put an end to violence of this kind.

Referring to the lesser, at present unorganised, hooligans still in evidence at Chelsea football ground, Superintendent Michael Hedges, the senior officer in overall charge of Operation 'Own-Goal' at Fulham police station, warned: "We cannot afford to be complacent. If we let these people have their heads, another group will emerge and we could be back to square-one."

In fact it is clear that this type of mindless hooliganism — pursued as an end in itself by the yobs who practise it — has become a deep-



PRODUCTS OF SOFTNESS AND INDISCIPLINE
Gang leaders of the Chelsea mob

rooted and recurrent phenomenon among large sections of British youth. Atrocious as such behaviour is, and desecrating as it is of the harshest punishment, unless measures are adopted which get at the real cause of this disease, the ugly symptoms can only be expected to continue to appear.

As we commented at the time of the riot at the Heysel Stadium in Brussels in 1985, the British hooligans involved displayed conduct of a kind that could only mark them out, in the eyes of the world, as products of a very sick nation. If Britain is so sick, as we pointed out then and think it appropriate to point out again, this sickness lies in the whole political and social system within which the young Briton of today grows up and lives — indeed in the

whole system of values he learns to adopt as his own.

SOFT ATMOSPHERE

From early childhood, every influence that bears upon the British youth almost seems to be calculated to undermine him. He lives in a soft, self-indulgent atmosphere in which he is given neither discipline nor any sense of national or racial identity. Throughout his formative years he is subjected almost to no authority at all and give no example or leadership he can respect.

In school he is likely to be taught by teachers who are either weak liberals or vicious leftists who will deliberately poison his mind against the very ideas of order or

patriotism.

In his spare time he will be abandoned to boredom and futility, the primitive jungle noises of degenerate 'pop' or 'disco' music forming the limit of his cultural horizons. And he will be left free to sink into sexual depravity.

When he leaves school, the liberalistic ideology that pervades Britain will insist that he should not be subjected to any compulsory military training, Britain being one of the few advanced nations to have dispensed with this institution despite its obvious desirability on many grounds. Instead he will be taught to consider it his 'democratic right', if he so desires, to join the horrid tribe of 'punk' anarchists which infests many of our town centres, slouching around with nothing better to do than sniff glue or get drunk on bottles of booze in public when not being organised into a mob to attack policemen for the benefit of his nation's enemies. At any rate his natural youthful aggression will not be directed into any socially or nationally constructive channels.

As for any pride in being British, this will very likely have been expunged by indoctrination from childhood that he should feel ashamed and guilty about his nation's past. And his confusion and loss of identity will have been accentuated by the transformation of Britain into a 'multi-racial society'.

INFLUENCE OF MEDIA

One of the most corrupting influences of all will be the brain-dirtying efforts of the mass media, overwhelmingly controlled and staffed by aliens, liberals, leftists and queers, who will see to it that the young Briton's outlook is moulded by a flood of porno-

graphy, permissiveness, pacifism, internationalism, race-mixing and sexual perversion.

At the end of such an upbringing, is it surprising that Britain's youth should have produced such a large crop of violent morons as the present-day football thugs, who think nothing of besmirching their country's good name because that good name means nothing to them whatever? This is the nature of the 'British sickness' to which Continental Europeans refer.

Of course, our politicians love to pose as the protectors of 'public order' and 'decency' in the face of the hooligans. Thus, after the Brussels riot Mrs. Thatcher and Messrs. Kinnock, Steel and Owen all went through the familiar charade of issuing pious condemnations of the rioters. But in fact these politicians are all part and parcel of the political and social disease and poverty of national leadership that have produced this type of phenomenon. They have all abandoned British youth to anarchy.

THE ONLY CURE

Only nationalism will bring about the revolutionary changes in Britain's outlook and institutions that will cure this sickness. Only under a nationalist government will Britain's youth be systematically imbued with the nation-building values and attitudes of mind, with the male population being subject from early childhood to a rigorous training of body, mind and character — including military service — so as to produce generations of young men who are strong, fit, proud, disciplined and motivated by a powerful and thorough love for their race and nation.

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — The March issue of *British Nationalist* produced a very fine article on the Ulster crisis entitled 'Why we must not desert Ulster'.

With the exceptions of Mainland Orange, BNP and NF marches and rallies, where else other than in Ulster would you find events where the Union Jack is taken to mean something special? The Ulster Unionists possess a degree of bona fide Britishness that would put most Mainland people to shame.

Those ignorant anti-Loyalist (i.e. anti-British) types who would hand over Ulster to the blood-stained Fenians would do well to remember this: It is not Ian Paisley and the Protestants who are murdering British soldiers!

All patriotic British people this side of the Irish Sea should support the Loyalists in smashing the IRA!

JOHN WALSH
Liverpool

Sir, — I applaud the articles on race suicide and the need for a national fitness drive featured in the April issue.

I would like to go further and suggest that race suicide and a low state of fitness are linked to a hedonistic life style which large numbers of British people seem today to crave. Many can think no further than materialistic pleasures.

With people of this mentality running our country, sport is bound to suffer. Competitive sports involve a conscious effort of mind and body towards the objective of victory — something such people cannot understand.

I am convinced that the single largest barrier to a healthy life style is our slavish attachment to television. For hours people are prepared to be bombarded with the most trite drivel imaginable. Just think of the man-hours lost in watching TV which could be harnessed to some form of self-improvement (and thereby national improvement); after all, the strength of a nation stems from the strengths of individuals on a large scale. Instead of such strength, we have an army of square-eyed morons who will believe anything the TV companies choose to tell them.

What sort of people are these to bring up children or build a nation? Before we can be physically healthy, we have to have healthy ideals.

M. SIMMONS
London S.E.9

Sir, — Mrs. Thatcher accuses Mr. Kinnock of raising the white flag — but isn't it doing the same thing to make Britain's defence dependent upon America, as she has done?

R.S. WARD
Melton Mowbray, Leics.

The blue bloods of Britain — 1987

WE PRINT HERE two photos of prize specimens of Britain's contemporary upper crust. The specimens are not untypical. They represent the calibre of manhood of a large part of today's ruling classes. Don't get it wrong — we are not interested in waging communist-type class warfare; on the contrary, we believe in the desirability of an aristocracy of superior blood. But can it be said that these two creatures are 'superior'? They are in fact replaced by examples of a decadent breed that is no longer fit to rule and must be replaced by a new, stronger, governing class



Who is this pretty girl? Well, actually she is MALE! The picture is of Sebastian Guinness, junkie heir to the brewing and banking millions



This picture shows husband and wife but which is which? Believe it or not, the one on the right is Lord Ralph Kerr, with his ex-spouse Virginia

ABOUT TIME!

The use of the SAS to thwart the attempt by IRA thugs to blow up an RUC police station was indeed timely — and not only because it was about time that IRA murderers received a dose of their own medicine. It seems more than a coincidence that the decision to allow the security forces to use real bullets instead of plastic ones occurred within a few days of the announcement of the general election. Could anyone be blamed for suspecting that the decision to take positive action against the IRA is a Tory ploy to woo back the votes of disenchanted Unionists and Loyalists whose patience with the Tories has been sorely tried since the signing of the infamous Anglo-Irish Agreement?

I, like most Britons, regard the shooting of the eight IRA terrorists as a welcome change from the government's usual policy of scuttling and surrender; but I cannot understand why that same government continues to permit the provocative spectacle of paramilitary funerals for IRA thugs, particularly when they take place under the very noses of the security forces and in the full glare of media publicity. Is it beyond the wit of Northern Ireland Secretary Tom King to impound the bodies of deceased members of the IRA and quietly dispose of their remains?

BANNING WAR CRIMINALS

I understand that attempts are being made in some quarters to follow the example of the United States by banning President Kurt Waldheim from entering this country on account of crimes it is alleged he committed during the last war. So far the government has resisted these attempts, but I strongly suspect that a ban will be imposed on the Austrian President if more countries follow America's example. Whether or not President Waldheim

RONALD RICKCORD

Talking Points

is guilty of the crimes of which he is being accused I do not know; however, I think that it would be highly hypocritical if the government were to refuse him entry into Britain when far bigger war criminals, such as Menachem Begin and the late Jomo Kenyatta — to name but a few — have in the past not only been welcomed to these shores but have actually been accorded red carpet treatment by British Governments, in addition to the privilege of being entertained by the Queen.

A MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCE

It seems quite incredible that in an age when violent murderers, rapists and child molesters are frequently remanded on bail (thus enabling them to commit further offences) and are all too often given outrageously lenient sentences, that a 39-year-old Briton should be given a four-year sentence for attempting to burn down a synagogue. Such was the fate of Malcolm Lowes, who did £800 damage to the synagogue in Norwich.

Of course Mr. Lowes' action was extremely stupid as well as criminal. However, his father was killed by Jewish terrorists while he was serving with the British Army in Palestine. That does not excuse Mr. Lowes for doing what he did, but surely he could have been dealt with a little

more leniently. If the murder of his father is not a mitigating circumstance it is difficult to know what is.

A BRAVE DECISION

In the prevailing circumstances, the BNP leadership was right to decide that the party should not participate in the general election. No doubt the decision will disappoint some supporters, but it would have been foolhardy to commit our hard-earned resources and the energies of our activists to a battle that we could not possibly win. We must face the fact that this is not a propitious time for British Nationalists to flex their muscles against opponents who between them have the backing of big business, the trade unions and, above all, the news media which, as we all know, is strongly anti-British. For too long British people have been mesmerised and brainwashed by the outpourings of the media, particularly TV, which is manipulated by unscrupulous, unpatriotic and alien elements in favour of the establishment parties — and the latter include the parties of the so-called 'Alliance', which is of course a creation of the media.

Not only was the decision not to put up candidates the right one; it was also a brave one. For inevitably there will be those who will foolishly suggest that we had no stomach for the fight, but they could not be more mistaken. If we are nothing else, we nationalists are realists and we will fight our battles on our own ground and at a time of our own choosing. Meanwhile let us concentrate our efforts on building up the BNP into an efficient and battleworthy machine as a launching pad for the future; and let us fearlessly continue proclaiming the truth to our fellow-countrymen, for no-one else does. Sooner or later the people will turn to us — and when that day arrives we must be ready.

BNP not to fight general election

WHEN ON MAY 11th the general election was called to take place exactly a month later, the decision was made by the leadership of the British National Party that the party would not enter candidates in the election but would conserve the money raised for the purpose of investment in other areas of party development, in particular the acquisition of a national headquarters premises, now very nearly within the party's reach.

The decision was made by party leader John Tyndall after very careful consideration of the party's nationwide state of preparedness and the likely political climate in which the election would be fought.

The raising of the election deposit from £150 to £500 has made a substantial difference to the requirements of fighting parliamentary seats. What election fund would in the past have covered the candidate's deposit and most of his campaigning expenses, i.e. literature and so on, now is sufficient only to cover the deposit alone, with something like a total of £1,000 per seat

required to wage a campaign effectively.

Had the election been called in 1988 or even later in 1987, it is possible that the BNP could have raised enough money to fight it properly.

With the poll being called much earlier, it simply was impossible for the party to achieve this state of preparedness. As the prospect of an election in the summer of this year seemed increasingly likely, an emergency appeal was made for an extra £15,000 for the party's Election Fund. While many party supporters responded generously to this appeal, the total raised fell far short of that required. Rather than throw this and other monies away on fighting a

number of seats that would not have qualified the party for any broadcast and in which, on the evidence of Greenwich, only very low votes could have been expected, it was decided that this money would be relocated to the alternative purposes described earlier.

In the appeal launched on behalf of the last-minute emergency election fund it was clearly stated that if the target were not reached the money raised would be used for the acquisition of a party headquarters, so that no-one donating in response to that appeal could have done so under any misapprehension. In the case of persons donating to local election funds, branches have been authorised to offer those persons refunds of their money were

they dissatisfied that it should be used for any other purpose.

The basic party strategy concerning elections is not changed by this decision. No way is open for the victory of nationalism in Britain other than through the ballot box. At the present time, however, the nationalist movement does not possess the strength, unity or nationwide organisation necessary to compete in a general election on a scale effective enough to make the required impact. It is also a fact that the developing national collapse has not yet attained the proportions needed, nor hit large sections of the population hard enough, to create the climate of public opinion necessary for a consensus in favour of the radical changes needed in the nation's political affairs.

IMMEDIATE NEEDS

The diverse nationalist groups at present functioning in Britain must submerge their differences and unite forces to form a single national party, and then undergo a number of years of steady recruitment of active members (and in particular leaders), a development and extension of resources (especially those of mass communication) and a thorough programme of public education before a political breakthrough for nationalism is possible.

There are now some signs, albeit faint, that the first of these purposes may be achieved before too long. Vital to its achievement is a strengthening of the party organisation of the BNP. The release of money and active energies that would have been tied up in fighting the general election will enormously assist this process in the coming year or so.

On June 11th we leave the puppet parties and politicians of 'democracy' to perform their customary circus act before the people, knowing as we do that whoever wins the contest the affairs of Britain will continue along the same downward road. We reject the circus with contempt and dedicate our bodies and minds to building for the future, when the moment of destiny arrives with the total collapse of the old system. Then, with prudent preparation and planning in the preceding years, we shall be ready!

THESE MEN NEED HELP

SIX ACTIVISTS of the British National Party have been served with heavy fines arising out of incidents occurring at the Remembrance Day parade in York last November. They are Jonathan Stokes (Hull), Paul Reynolds (Sheffield) and John Peacock Jnr. (Leicester), each of whom was fined £300, and Richard Bentley (Bradford), Stephen Varley (Sheffield) and Andrew Highton (Leicester) who were fined £200 each.

The incident occurred when the six men were part of a BNP column of march that was confronted by a vicious red mob intent on causing trouble. As part of the provocation attempted by the mob, elderly BNP ex-servicemen carrying their war medals

were insulted and spat upon.

This led to fights between BNP members and reds in which the six men were involved. All are young and on the occasion felt it their duty to defend the honour of the party and their older party colleagues. None of them has high earning power and all are finding it extremely difficult to pay the fines imposed upon them, which were certainly grossly excessive in view of the circumstances.

We expect that there will be a number of readers of this paper who will want to send contributions to assist in the meeting of this large £1,500 fines bill. Please mark all donations 'BNP York Fines' and send them to the Yorkshire Regional Head Office at Box BR 10, Bramley, Leeds 13.

smashing into a wall and crushing him against a concrete post.

That the inner city criminal element should now be so bold and arrogant is hardly surprising after such exhibitions as Prince Charles' visit to Tottenham to shake the hand of 'Black Power' fanatic and left-wing Labour councillor Bernie Grant immediately following the conviction and imprisonment of the Broadwater Farm rioters who slaughtered PC Keith Blakelock. This spineless act of appeasement by the establishment can only cause such elements to believe they are now permitted to do as they please. Britain's rulers are obviously totally lacking the will to put down mob violence without compromise, even when their own police — the supposed representatives of the nation's law and order — are becoming victims themselves.

This is the brutal reality behind the vapid phrases uttered by the politicians in the current general election about the need to "combat crime". In fact none of the parties fighting the election is prepared to endorse the type of police methods needed to get the criminals off the streets once and for all, nor is any of them — in those cases where the criminals have been imported — prepared to dispatch them back to whence they came.

Whatever party wins this election, the crime wave is going to continue — until the voters realise that radical new measures are needed to cope with it, measures which only a **nationalist** government will have the courage to adopt.

INNER CITY CRIME NIGHTMARE

(Contd. from page 2)

Police, untrained and ill-equipped to deal with this alien form of mass mayhem, can only wring their hands and confess their powerlessness. As one PC has remarked, "This mob rule seems to be the latest thing among muggers and it is very frightening; it is becoming increasingly more common and unless we are on the spot there is not a lot we can do about it."

MEDIA COVER-UP

Meanwhile, the national news media assist the politicians in covering up this hideous reality as it continues to develop under their system. A recent riot in the ghetto area of St. Pauls, Bristol rated roughly two inches of column-space in the inside pages of *The Sunday Mirror* (May 10th). A mob was reported as having hurled bricks and bottles at police in the district on the previous Friday. Three police officers, including a woman, were said to have been injured in what was described as "a battle."

In Willesden, N.W. London, a young police officer was murdered after being dragged a quarter of a mile by a car. PC Ronan McCloskey had stopped the car, carrying 4 men, suspecting the driver to have been drinking. He put his head and arm inside the vehicle, which was immediately driven off with him clinging inescapably to the side, before

ADVERTISING THE B.N.P. SYMBOL

Party lapel badges: £1.25 (inc. p&p).
Key-rings with party logo: £1.75 (inc. p&p).
Party logo in cloth, 2.4in. in diameter for sewing on shoulder of anorak: £1.50 (inc. p&p).
Please send cash with orders to: PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH LONDON

PO Box 462, Greenwood Road, Hackney, London E8 4HH

WEST LONDON

PO Box 33, Greenford, Middx. UB6 8DS

SOUTH LONDON

PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

Bromley

PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE

EAST KENT

73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

NORTH ESSEX

PO Box 703, Colchester CO7 9SP

SUFFOLK

2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK

A9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

DEVON & CORNWALL

PO Box 75, Plymouth PL1 1SQ

SOUTH WALES

PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

BIRMINGHAM

PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

STOKE-ON-TRENT

PO Box 320, Tunstall, Stoke

EAST MIDLANDS

PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

LIVERPOOL

PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD

PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

YORKSHIRE

PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

TYNE & WEAR

PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND

PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in West Kent, Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Coventry, Burton-on-Trent, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax, Sheffield, Bradford, Wakefield, Hull and Sunderland. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to party head office at: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

READ

AUSTRALIA FIRST

A hard-hitting quarterly journal fighting for the nationalist cause. Includes events from home & abroad.

Rates:-

Aust. \$8; N.Z. \$12; Others \$15
PO Box R345, Royal Exchange, Australia 2000

Overseas: cash preferred or add \$4 to cheque

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others. Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on 'The coming British Revolution'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); Side 2: Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); Side 2: Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the 2nd World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III

Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-explanatory); Side 2: Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial nationalist state).

These 5 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 20p postage) from: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 37p post
25 copies £2.70 + £1.00 post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.90 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.35 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.55 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.75 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.95 post
400 copies £26.40 + £3.25 post
500 copies £32.00 Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00 by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5 is required on all foreign currency POs and cheques and an additional £1 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 25p ☐

I wish to become a full member and enclose £6 (Half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

I wish to become an associate member and enclose £3 (no half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....